Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2016.08.08 16:39
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 12302 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 8.8.2016

Madhu Bala Sharma ..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others ..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. D.D. Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that he wishes to withdraw the present petition as the petitioner wants to make a representation to the respondent-department for restoration of family pension under Rule 8.35 (Note-2) of Punjab Civil Services Rules, Volume-2, Chapter-VIII, showing the necessitious circumstances and otherwise deserving case to the respondent-department for restoration of the family pension after divorce from second husband, who happens to be the younger brother of her deceased first husband.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the liberty aforesaid.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

8.8.2016
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : No

Whether Reportable : No