

CWP No.12279 of 2016

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12279 of 2016
Date of decision: 23.08.2016

Sanjeev Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

Present: - Mr. Rajnish K. Gupta, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Saurabh Girdhar, AAG, Haryana.
Mr. Pankaj Kundra, Advocate, for
Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, for respondents No.2 and 3.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

This order shall dispose of a bunch of seven petitions bearing CWP Nos.12279, 12281, 12290, 12311, 12324, 12329 and 12330 of 2016 as according to the learned counsel for the parties, facts involved therein are identical.

2. The petitioners in all the petitions have sought quashing of impugned letter dated 20.11.2014 (Annexure P-6) issued in compliance of the order dated 17.11.2014 passed by respondent No.2 thereby suspending the allotment letter issued to them.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) at the very outset states that the writ petitions can be disposed of at this stage by permitting the petitioner(s) to file a detailed and comprehensive representation(s) before respondent No.2 raising all the pleas as have been sought to be raised herein. Further prayer has been made that a time bound direction be issued

to respondent No.2 to decide the representation(s) after affording an

CWP No.12279 of 2016

opportunity of hearing to the petitioner(s).

4. After hearing learned counsel for the parties, perusing the paper-book and without expressing any opinion on the merits of the controversy, we dispose of these writ petitions by permitting the petitioner(s) to file a detailed and comprehensive representation(s) before respondent No.2 within a period of one month from today. It is further directed that if any such representation(s) is/are filed, same shall be decided by respondent No.2 within next three months after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner(s) by passing a speaking order in accordance with law.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

23.08.2016
R.S.

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No