

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12272 of 2016

Date of decision: 11.7.2016

Ashok Beniwal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & anr.

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner states at the Bar that his client was a party to the litigation instituted in the year 2006 which ended in the Supreme Court in 2007. This fact is not disclosed in the pleadings but is accepted as a statement of fact. When this is the true position obtaining, then the petitioner has apparently slept over his right from 2007 till present and therefore by long lapse of time, his right to sue stands extinguished which cannot be remedied by an enforceable writ of certiorari or mandamus to bring the relief claimed. Besides, there is no explanation for the delay in approaching court.

Dismissed.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

11.7.2016
monika