

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.12233 of 2016

Date of Decision:- 4.7.2016.

Balkrishan Pathak (Retd.) Superintendent and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Piyush Bansal, DAG, Punjab is directed to take notice on behalf of the respondents. Learned counsel for the petitioners is directed to furnish three sets of the paper book to learned State counsel during course of the day.

The present writ petition is disposed of in terms of **CWP No.7098 of 2016** and other connected cases decided on 21.4.2016 (Annexure P-9).

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

July 4, 2016.

sandeep sethi