

The plea of the learned counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner is entitled to two years' extension in service on account of his disability on the basis of various judgments passed by this Court and the Apex Court, whereby the parity was given with the blind persons under the Persons With Disabilities (Equal Opportunities, Protection of Rights and Full Participation) Act, 1995, and the benefit of enhancement of age was extended to all the categories of disable persons. The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had earlier filed CWP No. 19417 of 2011 before this Court and in pursuance to the directions passed by this Court on 25.5.2011 in CWP No. 7233 of 2010, titled as Bhupinder Singh

CWP No. 12215 of 2016 (O/M)

Versus State of Punjab, he had filed a representation before the authorities, which has been declined, vide order dated 8.9.2015 (Annexure-P-1). In this way, the petitioner seeks two years' extension in service or in alternate claims monetary benefits for the said two years.

I am of the view that the petitioner had retired from service on 30.11.2011 at the age of 58 years. He can be given extension of two years upto the age of 60 years, which age he has already attained. Therefore, no extension in service could be given as he has already crossed the age of 60 years.

Regarding the monetary benefits for said two years, it comes out that the petitioner did not work after he attained the age of 58 years and without rendering any work, no monetary benefit could be awarded. Therefore, the present petition stands dismissed.

(KULDIP SINGH)  
JUDGE

4.7.2016  
sjks