

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12201 of 2016
Date of Decision: 04.07.2016

GURCHARAN SINGH

....Appellant

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS

....Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHESH GROVER
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SNEH PRASHAR**

Present: None for the petitioner.

MAHESH GROVER, J.(Oral)

There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner despite the fact that the case has been called out twice. The petitioner seeks a mandamus directing the official respondents to take action pursuant to Annexure P-3 which is a show cause notice purportedly issued under Section 20(4) of the Punjab Panchayati Raj Act, 1994.

We notice that the petitioner has not approached the concerned authority by way of a representation in the first instance; a prerequisite for a writ of mandamus and thus we refrain from exercising our jurisdiction under Article 226 to issue a mandamus.

The instant petition is dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to approach the concerned authorities for redressal of his grievance.

**[MAHESH GROVER]
JUDGE**

July 04, 2016
rashmi

**[SNEH PRASHAR]
JUDGE**