

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.12135 of 2016

Date of decision: 03.06.2016

Baldev Singh and others

. . . Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

. . . Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH
DHALIWAL**

- 1) *Whether Reporters of the local papers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2) *To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3) *Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?*

Present: Mr. Charanpal Singh Bagri, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he has already moved representation dated 04.01.2016 (Annexure P-9) to the Secretary, Panchayat and Rural Development, Punjab and respondent No.2, but no action has been taken by the respondents, in spite of inquiry held against the petitioners.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the paper-book, however, without commenting on merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of, without issuing notice to the

CWP No.12135 of 2016

respondents, with a direction to respondent No.1, to look into the representation dated 04.01.2016 (Annexure P-9) and, if need be, issue appropriate direction, permitted under law, to the concerned authority within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and copy of the order so passed shall be communicated forthwith to the petitioner.

[PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL]
JUDGE

03.06.2016
sachin