

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA,
AT CHANDIGARH

332

CWP No.12074 of 2016

Decided on : 08.07.2016

Harjinder Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr.Rajinder Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.
Mr.Yatinder Sharma, Addl.AG, Punjab.
Mr.Vivek Chauhan, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

1. Whether reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see judgment?
2. To be referred to reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Rameshwar Singh Malik, J. (Oral)

Reply on behalf of respondent No.3 filed in the Court, today is taken on record and copy thereof has been supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioners.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.4 submit that since order has been passed, appointing respondent No.4 as Administrator which has not been challenged by the petitioners, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of as such.

Faced with the above, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks permission to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh one laying challenge to the order passed whereby respondent No.4 has been appointed as Administrator.

Permission is granted.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

[Rameshwar Singh Malik]
Judge

08.07.2016

sd