

**IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No. 12048 of 2016. [O&M]

Date of Decision: 18th July, 2016.

Sushil Kumar & Ors.

Petitioners through
Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL]

The petitioners have sought a writ of *Mandamus* to direct the State of Haryana and Land Acquisition Collector, Karnal to pay them the same amount of enhanced compensation as has been paid to other landowners in compliance to the judgments of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Civil Appeal No. 2187 of 2013 [Subhash Chander & Ors. Vs. State of Haryana & Anr.]*** and ***Civil Appeal No. 7657 of 2012 [Ranjit Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors.] [P-2 and P-4]***. It is averred that the land of the petitioners along with that of landowners of the above-stated Civil Appeals was acquired by the State of Haryana through the same acquisition process. The petitioners were dissatisfied with the amount of compensation awarded by the Land Acquisition Collector as well as the Reference Court and filed Regular First Appeals in this Court in which vide judgment dated 05.10.2007, compensation amount was enhanced.

It appears that while the petitioners did not file any further appeal, some of the aggrieved landowners preferred Special Leave Petitions before the Hon'ble Supreme Court and vide the judgments, cited in the opening paragraph, compensation was further enhanced.

It is on this premise that the petitioners have sought enhancement of compensation at par with the landowners who were successful before the Supreme Court.

We have heard learned counsel for the petitioners. However, we are unable to consider their above mentioned claim as a Coordinate Bench of this Court vide order dated 23.05.2016 passed in **CWP No. 10159 of 2016 [Rameshwar & Ors. Vs. State of Haryana & Ors.]**, has dismissed the writ petition on the ground that higher compensation has been paid only to those landowners who pursued their case on time and diligently.

For the reasons mentioned in the order dated 23.05.2016 **[Rameshwar's case]**, the instant writ petition is also dismissed.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

July 18, 2016.
dinesh

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE