

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.223-2

**CWP No.12886 of 2015
Date of decision: 29.04.2016**

Dharmender Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Manoj Makkar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner who is serving the respondents as a Restorer on contract basis on DC rates, has filed the present petition seeking directions to the respondents not to replace him by another contractual employee.

While issuing notice of motion, this Court had granted interim relief in favour of the petitioner to the effect that he shall not be replaced by another contractual employee.

Today, at the hearing of the matter, learned State counsel, on instructions from Mr. Vinod Gupta, Deputy Superintendent, Department of Higher Education, Government of Haryana, submits that the petitioner would not be replaced by another contractual employee subject to his good work and conduct and existence of work load.

The aforementioned statement made on behalf of the State satisfies the learned counsel for the petitioner and on such expression of satisfaction, the present petition has been rendered infructuous.

Ordered accordingly.

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

April 29, 2016
Jyoti 1