

***IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND
HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH.***

CWP No. 13542 of 2014. [O&M]

Date of Decision: 15th July, 2016.

Lal Singh

Petitioner through Mr. R.D.Yadav, Advocate

Versus

State of Haryana & Ors.

Respondents through

Ms. Palika Monga, DAG, Haryana.

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?

2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?

3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

SURYA KANT, J. [ORAL]

The petitioner is aggrieved by notifications dated 01.07.2011 and 26.06.2012 issued under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 whereby his land measuring about 4 kanals being 1/4th share of land measuring 15 kanals 7 marlas, fully described in Para 2 of the writ petition, was acquired along with other lands of village Kalaka, Tehsil & District Rewari for the public purpose, namely, for its development and utilisation for residential Sector 20 Part and Sector 21 at Rewari by the Haryana Urban Development Authority.

The primary contention of the petitioner is that he has constructed 'A' class residential house over the said land wherein he along with his family is residing.

Learned State Counsel, on instructions from Jagbir Singh, Kanungo, office of Land Acquisition Collector, Gurgaon, states that the petitioner's land measuring 1 kanal 8 marlas, where residential house is constructed, has since been released and for the remaining land he has accepted the compensation.

In this view of the matter, the writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty to the petitioner to pursue his remedy for enhancement of compensation in respect of the land which has not been released.

***(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE***

***July 15, 2016.
dinesh***

***(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE***