

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.12837 of 2015
Date of decision:23.11.2016**

Bhavit Goyal

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.Harish Goyal, Advocate for the petitioner.
Ms.Monika Chhiber Sharma, DAG, Punjab.
Mr.Indresh Goyal, Advocate for respondents No.2 and 3.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Notice of motion was issued and in compliance thereof, reply on behalf of respondents No.2 and 3 has been filed. However, no reply has been filed on behalf of State.

When the case came up for hearing on 7.12.2015, following order was passed by this Court:-

“Learned counsel for respondents No. 2 and 3 states that the process of election has already been initiated.

On request of the learned counsel for the respondents, adjourned to 12.02.2016 to enable them to file replies.”

Again, when the case came up for hearing on 22.9.2016, following order was passed by this Court, while adjourning the case for today:-

“Learned counsel for the State as well as learned counsel for respondents No. 2 and 3 seek time to get instructions as to by what time election of respondent No.2 shall be held.

On their request, adjourned to 23.11.2016.”

Learned counsel for the State seeks yet another adjournment on the ground that nobody from the Department has come to assist her.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and going through the record of the case, including the orders dated 7.12.2015 and 22.9.2016 passed by this Court, the instant writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to the Secretary, Department of Research and Medical Education, Punjab-respondent No.1, to ensure conducting of election of respondent No.2 at an early date, taking immediate appropriate steps but in any case within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

23.11.2016
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No