

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.11838 of 2016

Date of Decision: June 03, 2016

Mewa

....Petitioner

versus

Commissioner, Hisar Division and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM:HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE A.B.CHAUDHARI.**

Present: Mr.Ashwani Bakshi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-.-

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

One of the grievance of the petitioner in the instant writ petition is that against the eviction order dated 02.05.2014 and Appellate order dated 22.01.2015, which have been passed in the proceedings under Section 7 of the Punjab Village Common Lands (Regulation) Act, 1961, as applicable to the State of Haryana, at the instance of the Gram Panchayat of his village, a statutory revision petition has been filed but the same is not being taken-up for hearing by the 1st respondent, as a result thereof, a part of the petitioner's house has been demolished.

It may be mentioned here that the petitioner's claim is based upon a sale deed dated 29.06.1987 whereby land measuring 1

kanal was purchased by him alongwith his co-vendee in equal shares.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and considering the fact that the revision petition deserves to be decided within a reasonable period, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the 1st respondent to decide the same as early as possible and preferably within a period of three months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.

Ordered accordingly.

Till then, the parties are directed to maintain *status-quo* re: possession, further construction and demolition.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

June 03, 2016
mohinder

[A.B.CHAUDHARI]
JUDGE