

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 1273 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: April 29, 2016

VINAY SINGLA

.....Petitioner

VS.

THE STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Amrik Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Vaibhav Sharma, DAG Punjab.

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA,J

On the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions from his client, no further adjudication is required in this case since the matter has been rendered infructuous on account of the stand of the State in the written statement.

On such statement, the petition stands disposed of.

APRIL 29, 2016
Rajeev

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE