

**HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.11736 of 2016
Date of decision:30.9.2016

Anand Jain

...Petitioner

Versus

The State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr.P.S.Poonia, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr.R.K.Doon, AAG, Haryana.
Mr.Mukesh Kumar Mittal, Advocate for respondent No.3.
Mr.Akshay Kumar Goel, Advocate for respondents No. 4 to 7.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondent No.3, at the very outset, submits that since in compliance of the impugned orders Annexures P-8 and P-9, elections have already taken place under the supervision of Administrator and after conducting the election, Administrator had become functus officio, no further orders are called for and the present writ petition may be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

Correctness of the above-statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.3 has not been denied by the learned counsel for the petitioner and rightly so, it being a matter of record.

In view of the above, instant writ petition is ordered to be disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of, accordingly.

30.9.2016
mks

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No