

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.11730 of 2016
Date of decision: 28.09.2016**

Narender Singh Rathi

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Kartik Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. S.S. Mann, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner, at the very outset, submits that since during pendency of the present writ petition, Deputy Commissioner has already passed the appropriate order, instant writ petition has been rendered infructuous and the same may be disposed of, as such granting liberty to the petitioner to challenge the order passed by Deputy Commissioner before the appropriate forum, in accordance with law. He further submits that only two weeks time may be granted to the petitioner to file the appropriate appeal or revision against the order passed by Deputy Commissioner and till then, respondents may be directed not to confirm the auction proceedings so that his statutory right, challenging the order passed by Deputy Commissioner, may not be rendered infructuous.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, present writ petition is disposed of, as having been rendered infructuous with liberty, as prayed for.

It is also directed that the auction proceedings shall be kept in abeyance and shall not be confirmed for a period of another two weeks from today, so as to enable the petitioner to challenge the order passed by Deputy Commissioner before the appropriate forum, in accordance with law.

Disposed of, accordingly.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

28.09.2016
vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No