

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.21531 of 2011

Date of decision: 22.11.2016

Vipul Nagpal

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

Vice Chancellor of Panjab University, Chandigarh & others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S.SANDHAWALIA

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr.Ishan Bhardwaj, Advocate, for
Mr.Manish Bansal, Advocate, for the respondents.

G.S.SANDHAWALIA, J. (Oral)

Petitioner had been admitted in the B.Sc Tourism Management course. He had progressed to the 5th semester but had not been allowed to appear in the said examination on account of the fact that he had not passed and his request for re-evaluation was pending.

He had been allowed to sit provisionally in the examination of 5th semester, vide order dated 01.12.2011. Thereafter also, he had been given permission vide order dated 20.03.2012, to appear in the 6th semester examination along with his reappear in the 5th semester, subject to final hearing. It was, thereafter, noticed on 28.08.2012 that the petitioner is yet to clear his 2nd semester as he had got reappear in 2 semesters. Accordingly, a chance was given to him to first clear his requirement of the 2nd semester before his request for being permitted to attend classes of 5th & 6th could be considered. The said examination was to take place in December, 2012. An application had to be filed for hearing of the petition, which stood admitted for regular hearing. The same was to be done after declaration of the reappear examination of 2nd semester.

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21531-2011

-2-

Counsel for the respondents points out that the petitioner has not cleared his 2nd semester examination in the reappear examination.

There was no appearance on behalf of the petitioner on the last 2 dates, i.e. on 08.08.2016 & 31.08.2016. Today also, position is the same. Accordingly, keeping in view the above facts, it is apparent that the petitioner has lost interest in pursuing his course on account of his inability to clear the same. Resultantly, the present writ petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

22.11. 2016
sailesh

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No