

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 11535 of 2016

Date of decision: 06.09.2016

M/s Jagtar Singh Sadhu Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

FCI and another

...Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY KUMAR MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMENDRA JAIN**

Present: - Mr. Aalok Jagga, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Puri, Advocate for the respondents.

AJAY KUMAR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner has approached this Court under Articles 226 of the Constitution of India seeking quashing the report dated 26.05.2016 (Annexure P-6), issued by respondent No. 1, whereby the technical bid of respondent No. 2 has been accepted. Further prayer has been made for restraining respondent No. 1 from allotting the tender in favour of respondent No. 2 for appointment of transport contract at Baghapurana in terms of tender notice (Annexure P-1) and further allot the tender to the next financially and technically eligible candidate i.e. petitioner who is fully eligible for the said contract.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of the subsequent order passed by the respondent-FCI during the pendency of the writ petition which has been impugned in CWP No. 12513 of 2016, this writ petition has been rendered infructuous and may be disposed of

as such.

3. Disposed of as infructuous.

(AJAY KUMAR MITTAL)
JUDGE

06.09.2016
 jyoti iii

(RAMENDRA JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No.
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No.