

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

C.W.P No. 11518 of 2016

Date of decision : 02.06.2016

Sandeep Kumar Sud

...Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate and
Mr. Gaurav Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RITU BAHRI , J. (Oral)

The precise grievance of the petitioner is that despite the fact that he has been acquitted, vide judgment dated 25.11.2014 in a criminal case vide F.I.R No. 146 dated 04.06.2008 u/s 406/409/420/120-B IPC, his suspension period has not been treated as duty period and has not been granted 1st ACP after 05 years of service.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states, that at this stage, the petitioner will be satisfied, if directions are issued to respondents to decide the representations (P-4 to P-6).

In view of above, without commenting on the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents to

decide the representations (P-4 to P-6) of the petitioner, by passing a speaking order, in accordance with Rules/law.

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE

02.06.2016

G Arora