

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.11438 of 2016
Date of decision: 1.6.2016**

Rajveer Kaur ... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & anr. ... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Sukhdev Kamboj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

In view of the order passed today in connected case i.e. CWP No.11416 of 2016, titled 'Gagandeep & anr. v. State of Punjab & anr.', quashing the impugned remarks in the objection list in the case of the two petitioners therein, this petition would meet with the same success but read *mutatis mutandis* with no meaningful differentiation as the aforesaid case involved the post of Lecturer (History) while this case involves selection process of filling up vacancies of the Post of Lecturer (Biology).

In the present case, like the other one, the petitioner's candidature has been clouded by the remarks that issue date of her Lineal Descendent Certificate is 17.3.2016, which is beyond the cut off date for submitting applications i.e. 12.12.2015. The order passed in the connected petition will operate in this case along with its reasoning. The impugned remarks against the petitioner's name falling at Sr. No.25 of the

List of Objections is “LDC Certificate issue date is March 17, 2016, which is after cut off date 12-12-2015”. This petition is also allowed in terms of the decision of even date delivered in CWP No.11416 of 2016.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

1.6.2016
monika