

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.11431 of 2016
Date of decision: 01.06.2016**

Suresh Kumar and another

...Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE RITU BAHRI

Present: Mr. Rakesh Sobti Advocate,
for the petitioners

RITU BAHRI, J.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. A.G.,
Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

The petitioner is seeking direction to the respondents not to
relieve them during the vacations and allow them to work till the regularly
selected candidates become available in view of the judgment passed by the
Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Hargurpratap Singh and others Vs. State of
Punjab and others**, Civil Appeal No.8745 of 2003 (Annexure P-4).

Similar question came up for consideration before this Court in
Anurag Chahal and others Vs. State of Haryana and another, CWP
No.16954 of 2015, decided on 05.05.2016 (Annexure P-12). The said
petition was disposed of by referring to the judgments passed by the Hon'ble
Supreme Court in **Hargurpratap Singh's** case (supra), **Rattan Lal Vs. State
of Haryana**, 4600 of 1985, decided on 16.08.1985 and this Court in **Dr.**

decided on 03.09.2013 and the petitioners were allowed to continue to work on their posts till the regular selections were made. They were further held entitled to get salary of the vacation period at the minimum pay scales as admissible to the regular employees.

Present case is squarely covered by the aforesaid judgments passed by the Hon'ble Supreme Court as well as this Court.

In view of the above, this petition is disposed of by giving direction to the respondents to consider the case of the petitioners in view of the judgment passed by this Court in **Anurag Chahal's** (supra) (Annexure P-12). However, it is made clear that the contractual employees shall work to the satisfaction of the respondents and in case the posts are abolished or their work and conduct is not found satisfactory, their services can be dispensed with by the respondents.

01.06.2016

ajp

(RITU BAHRI)
JUDGE