

CWP No.11387 of 2016

Date of Decision: 09.08.2016

Shingara Singh and others

. . Petitioners

Versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present: Mr. Varinder Chhibbar, Advocate for the petitioners.

Rameshwar Singh Malik, J. (Oral)

Feeling aggrieved against the alleged inaction on the part of respondent authorities, petitioners have approached this Court by way of instant writ petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that when the genuine grievance of the petitioners was not being redressed, they finally approached the respondent authorities, by way of representation dated 20.05.2016 (Annexure P-9), but the same is also pending decision. He further submits that the petitioners will be satisfied in case respondent No.2 is directed to consider and decide their representation, within a reasonable time.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioners and without expressing any opinion on the merits of case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, U.T. Chandigarh-respondent No.2, to look into the matter, consider the grievance of the petitioners raised vide their representation dated 20.05.2016 (Annexure P-9) and decide the same at an early date, by passing an appropriate order thereon, strictly in accordance with law, but in any case within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

With the above-said observations made and directions issued, the instant writ petition stands disposed of.

[RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK]
JUDGE

09.08.2016

mks

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether Reportable : Yes/No