

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

FAO No. 2145 of 2008

Date of Decision : 02.02.2016

Sheetal Prasad

....Appellant

Versus

Ombir and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURINDER GUPTA

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate
for the appellant.

Mr. Rohit Goswami, Advocate
for Mr. Vinod Chaudhri, Advocate
for respondent no. 4-Insurance Company.

Surinder Gupta, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the appellant submits that he could not file the correct address of respondent no. 1 as the appellant despite intimation has not turned up. Cost of ₹1000/- imposed vide order dated 05.11.2015 was also not deposited.

In view of submissions of learned counsel for the appellant, the appeal is dismissed for non-compliance of order dated 05.11.2015, reserving the right of appellant to get it restored as and when the aforesaid order is complied with.

February 02, 2016

jk

**(SURINDER GUPTA)
JUDGE**