

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.11371 of 2016.

Date of Decision: July 26, 2016

Karnail Singh and others

.....Petitioners

versus

U.T. Chandigarh and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE DARSHAN SINGH.**

Present: Mr.Rajiv Kataria, Advocate, for the petitioners.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The land of the petitioners was statedly acquired vide notifications dated 09.08.1990 and 08.08.1991 issued under Sections 4 & 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894, followed by an Award dated 26.02.1993. The petitioners have admittedly received compensation etc. They aver that possession of the acquired land is continuously with them, hence, acquisition be taken to have been lapsed in view of Section 24 (2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013.

A Coordinate Bench vide order dated 03.06.2016 in a case titled as Satwinder Singh and others versus U.T. Chandigarh and others (CWP No.11923 of 2016), pertains to same acquisition, has asked the petitioners to submit a comprehensive representation to the authorities who

shall then consider and decide the same by a reasoned order. The instant

writ petition is accordingly disposed of in the same terms.

The parties shall maintain *status-quo* re: possession till the representation is decided.

Dasti.

**[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE**

July 26, 2016
mohinder

**[DARSHAN SINGH]
JUDGE**

CWP No.11371 of 2016

[3]

108-A CM Nos.8587 and 8298 of 2016 in
 CWP No.11371 of 2016

- - -

Karnail Singh and others versus U.T. Chandigarh and others

Present : Mr.Rajiv Kataria, Advocate,
 for the applicant-petitioners.

* * *

As prayed for, the application is allowed and the main case is
taken up for final hearing.

CM stands disposed of.

CM No.8298 of 2016

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is
allowed subject to all just exceptions and the document (P-8) is taken on
record.

(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE

July 26, 2016
mohinder

(DARSHAN SINGH)
JUDGE