

107

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.11369 of 2016**

**Date of decision: June 01, 2016**

Satpal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURYA KANT  
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.B. CHAUDHARI**

**Present:** Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

\*\*\*\*\*

**SURYA KANT, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner seeks a restraint order against the Gram Panchayat for not forcibly dispossessing him from the land in dispute. Such an injunction decree has already been admittedly, issued in favour of petitioner by the civil Court, Panipat vide judgment dated 30.01.2013 wherein the Gram Panchayat has been restrained from dispossessing the petitioner from the suit property except in due course of law.

In this view of the matter, no case to issue any further direction is required.

Dismissed.

**[SURYA KANT]  
JUDGE**

**[A.B. CHAUDHARI]  
JUDGE**

**June 01, 2016**

mahavir