

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

215

CWP No. 1295 of 2014 (O&M)

Date of decision:-02.02.2016

Baldev Grover

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL**

Present: Mr. Aditya Kochar, Advocate, for  
Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate,  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karminder Singh, Advocate,  
for respondent No.1- UOI.

Mr. Naveen Kaushik, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

**RAJESH BINDAL, J.**

The petitioner has approached this Court against the order rejecting the application filed by him for grant of Arms Licence.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the State submitted that in terms of Section 18 of the Arms Act, 1959, the petitioner has effective alternative remedy of appeal before the next higher authority from competent authority rejecting the application for grant of licence. He further submitted that in case the petitioner prefers appeal within four weeks from today, the same shall be considered and decided on merit and will not be dismissed only on account of delay.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in view of fair stand taken by learned counsel for the State, he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to avail of alternative remedy.

Ordered accordingly.

**02.02.2016**

PA

**(RAJESH BINDAL)  
JUDGE**