

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

KAPIL
08 17:10
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

CWP No.112 of 2016

Date of Decision: 07.01.2016.

Rajni

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab National Bank and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. N.S. Dandiwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J.

Petitioner has filed this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant the family pension to unmarried daughter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner had moved representation (Annexure P-4) before respondent No.2 for redressal of his grievance but no action has been taken on the same so far.

Accordingly, without advertting to the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to dispose of the representation (Annexure P-4) expeditiously, in accordance with law by passing a speaking order, preferably within two months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of this order.

January 07, 2016
kapil

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**