Sanjiv Kumar Sharma
2016.11.30 10:38
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 1850 of 2012 (O/M)
Date of decision : 29.11.2016

Parbodh Kumar Sharma ..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

The British India Corporation Limited and another ..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. D.S. Gandhi, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Puneet Sharma, Advocate, for respondents.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-.- -.-

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The learned counsel for respondents has informed this Court that the chargesheet, which was challenged in this case, has culminated in the inquiry. The inquiry has been completed and the petitioner has been granted the punishment of censure only. The copy of order (Annexure-R-12) is already on file. The inquiry report (Annexure-R-11) is also on file.

In view of the matter, the learned counsel for the petitioner withdraws the present writ petition to avail appropriate remedy before the Controlling Authority under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1972.

Dismissed as withdrawn.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

29.11.2016
sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No