

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

**Sr. No.112**

**CWP No.11062 of 2016  
Date of decision: 30.05.2016**

Sunanda Verma

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL**

Present: Mr. Vikas Malik, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

\* \* \*

**DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)**

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that at this stage, he would be satisfied if the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 22.03.2016 (Annexure P-9), issued on behalf of the petitioner.

I find the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner to be reasonable.

Accordingly, at this stage, without commenting on the merits of the case, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take a decision on the legal notice dated 22.03.2016 (Annexure P-9), issued on behalf of the petitioner, by passing a speaking order and after affording opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within four months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to add that the decision so taken be communicated to the petitioner and if the decision is not to the satisfaction of the petitioner, she would be at liberty to challenge the same, in accordance with law.

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**May 30, 2016**  
*Jyoti 1*