

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**FAO NO. 5658 OF 2005 (O&M)
DECIDED ON : 12.05.2016**

Amrik Singh

...Appellant

versus

Surinder Singh and others

...Respondents

Present : Mr. Uday Chauhan, Advocate for
Mr. Vivek Suri, Advocate,
for the appellant.

None for respondent No.2.

Mr. Vinod Chaudhri, Advocate,
for respondent No.4.

1. Whether Reporters of local newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest ?

AJAY TEWARI, J. (ORAL)

This matter has been pending for service of respondent No.1 and for impleading the legal heirs of respondent No.3.

Learned counsel for the appellant states that despite communication, the appellant has not come forward to give the details required by him.

In these circumstances, the present appeal stands dismissed for want of prosecution.

**(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE**

MAY 12, 2016

shalini