

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

126

**Civil Writ Petition No.11028 of 2016
Date of decision: 30th May, 2016**

Jasmer Singh

....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? Yes/No
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not? Yes/No
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? Yes/No

Present: Ms. Monika Tanwar, Advocate for the petitioner.

PARAMJEET SINGH DHALIWAL, J. (Oral)

Instant writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing respondents No.1 to 4 to take appropriate action on the representations filed by the petitioner on 10.10.2015, 12.01.2016 and 12.01.2016 (Annexures P-5(a), P-5(b) and P-6), respectively.

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had purchased land measuring 15 kanals, 13 marlas vide registered sale deed dated 14.10.1992 and the mutation No.2059 was sanctioned. In spite of that, entry in this regard has not been effected in the revenue record.

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the paper-book, however, without commenting on merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent No.2, to take appropriate action on the representation dated 12.01.2016 (Annexure P-6), filed by the petitioner, in accordance with law, preferably within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

May 30, 2016
sonia gugnani

(Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal)
Judge