

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDI GARH

CWP- 11018- 2016

Date of decision: - 30.05.2016

Mohinder Sharma

... Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority, Panchkula and
another.

... Respondents

CORAM HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S. J. VAZIDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present :- Mr. Deepak Sonak, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

S. J. VAZIDAR, A.C.J. (ORAL)

There is no warrant for interfering with the show cause notice dated 15.01.2015. The petitioner was bound to pay the escalated amount under the letter of allotment. The petitioner's defence is that a claim was raised in the year 2002 which was replied to by him and that thereafter no action was taken. The effect of the conduct of the parties would require to be considered in the proceedings pursuant to the show cause notice. The fact is that the amounts have not been paid. Whether the non-payment was justified or not is an issue which ought to be decided in the proceedings.

2. The writ petition is, therefore, dismissed. Needless to add that all the contentions of the parties will be decided in the proceedings.

(S. J. VAZIDAR)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

30.05.2016

Amodh