

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.10929 of 2016.

Date of Decision: August 01, 2016

Mangwinder Singh and anotherPetitioners

versus

Union of India and othersRespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.
HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDIP AHLUWALIA.**

Present: Ms.Jasmeet Mehra, Advocate, for
Mr.L.M.Gulati, Advocate, for the petitioners.

-. -

1. Whether Reporters of Local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The petitioners are aggrieved by the order dated 30.10.2012 passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Amritsar-cum-Arbitrator under the National Highways Act, 1956, whereby compensation for their land acquired for widening of the National Highway, as determined by the Land Acquisition Collector, has been upheld without any further enhancement. The grievance of the petitioners is that other land-owners of the same acquisition process have succeeded in getting higher compensation. They also seek a direction to the above-named Arbitrator for enhancement of compensation.

Since no order/instance of enhancement has been brought on record, it would not be possible for this Court to issue the desired direction.

However, the petitioners shall be at liberty to submit an application to the

Arbitrator in accordance with law for enhancement of their compensation amount, relying upon any instance where compensation in similar circumstances has been enhanced. If such an application is filed, the same shall be decided by the Arbitrator expeditiously.

The writ petition stands disposed of accordingly.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

August 01, 2016
mohinder

[SUDIP AHLUWALIA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No