

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10877 of 2016
Decided on : 28.05.2016

Mandeep Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA

Present : Mr.A.P.S.Saini, Advocate, for the petitioner.

G.S. Sandhawalia , J. (Oral)

Petitioner seeks decision of the legal notice date 02.06.2015 (Annexure P2) whereby demand has been made for compassionate appointment on account of death of her husband who was working as Clerk in Municipal Corporation, Bathinda-respondent No.3.

Counsel submits that in view of the demand notice, respondent No.2 has also forwarded the claim to respondent No.3 for taking appropriate action in a time-bound manner, but the said respondent has not acted upon the same. It is submitted that the petitioner is the only person eligible for appointment and is 36 years of age and the only dispute is with the mother-in-law, who has given a notice not to appoint the petitioner. Counsel submits that the petitioner would be satisfied if a time-bound decision is taken on the said legal notice.

Notice of motion.

Mr.R.S.Sidhu, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 & 2. Copy of the paperbook has been supplied to him.

Keeping in view the limited relief sought, this Court does not feel it necessary to ask the respondents to file reply.

Accordingly, without commenting upon the merits of the case and the entitlement of the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent No.2 to ensure that respondent No.3 decides the legal notice dated 02.06.2015 (Annexure P2), within a period of 3 months from the receipt of the certified copy of this order. In case an adverse order is to be passed, same be conveyed to the petitioner.

MAY 28, 2016

sailesh

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE