

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

VARINDER SINGH
2016.01.25 13:31
I attest to the accuracy and integrity
of this document
Punjab & Haryana High Court at
Chandigarh

CWP No. 1083 of 2016 (O&M)

Kewal Singh

... Petitioner

VS

Presiding Officer and others

... Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal

Present: Mr. Paramjit Singh Jammu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

Challenge in the present petition is to the order dated 1.12.2015 passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat for Public Utility Services, Sirsa (for short, 'the Lok Adalat'), whereby the claim of the petitioner for compensation on account of damages to the vehicle in an accident, was dismissed.

The petitioner claimed that the accident took place on 13.9.2013, whereas from the record especially in the DDR, it was found by the Lok Adalat that the accident took place on 13.8.2013. Once there is dispute regarding the basic fact, the claim of the petitioner for compensation could not be gone into in the summary proceedings before the Lok Adalat. Hence, there is no error in the impugned order.

The petition is dismissed.

20.1.2016

VS

(Rajesh Bindal)

Judge