

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Civil Writ Petition No. 11744 of 2015

Date of Decision: 1.8.2016

Sukhraj Bahadar Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK

Present : Mr. Anil Chawla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. B.M. Vinayak, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. J.S. Toor, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

Mr. Ashish Aggarwal, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that against the show cause notice issued to respondent No.3, he has filed an appeal under Section 279 (2) of the Punjab Municipal Corporation Act, 1976, as stated in para 2 of the reply filed on behalf of respondent No.2. He further submits that since the matter is subjudice before the court, respondent No.2 is unable to proceed further against respondent No.3. He also submits that as and when the appeal of respondent No.3 is decided by the learned court of competent jurisdiction, appropriate action shall be taken by the Municipal Corporation, Amritsar-respondent No.2.

In view of the abovesaid statement made by learned counsel for respondent No.2, which has gone undisputed, no further orders are called for at this stage and the writ petition stands disposed of, as such.

(RAMESHWAR SINGH MALIK)
JUDGE

1.8.2016
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No