IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

ITA No. 194 of 2006 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 29.03.2016

Commissioner of Income Tax, Ludhiana-I, Ludhiana

.....Appellant

Versus

Shri Amandeep Singh

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present: Mr. Rajesh Katoch, Advocate
for the appellant.

RAJESH BINDAL,J.

This appeal has been filed under Section 260 A of the Income Tax Act, 1961 (for short 'the Act'), against the order dated 28.6.2005 passed by the Income Tax Appellate Tribunal, Chandigarh Bench (B), in IT (SS) A. No.63/CHD/2002, for the Block Asstt. Period from 1.4.87 to 24.3.98, raising the following substantial question of law:

> (i) Whether on the facts and law, the Hon'ble Income Tax Appellate Tribunal was legally justified to hold the assessment order as barred by limitation with reference to the date of judgment of Hon'ble High Court's order or the date of receipt of the said order by the Department?

REEMA SAINI
2016.04.04 13:52
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court, Chandigarh

Learned counsel for the appellant-revenue submitted that in view of circular No.21/2015 dated 10.12.2015 read with circular No.279/Misc/M-142/2007-ITJ (Part) dated 8.3.2016, issued by Central Board of Direct Taxes, he does not wish to press the present appeal, as the tax effect involved is less than ₹ 20 lacs. However, he prays that liberty be granted to the revenue to file an application for revival of the appeal in case something survives therein.

Dismissed as not pressed with liberty as prayed for. It is however, clarified that withdrawal of the appeal by the revenue shall not be taken as affirmation of order of the Tribunal on merits. The legal issue as claimed by the revenue is left open to be adjudicated in an appropriate case.

(RAJESH BINDAL)
JUDGE

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

29.03.2016
reema

REEMA SAINI
2016.04.04 13:52
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
High Court, Chandigarh