

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Date of decision: 28.11.2016

CWP No.11632 of 2015

Jai Parkash

...Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Sajjan Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG, Haryana.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

Mr. Sajjan Singh states that his client has got substantial relief from the Department during the pendency of this petition vide PPO No.1115113602113602 and the disputed period has been counted towards qualifying service for pension and pensionary benefits to a great extent. This renders the instant petition infructuous.

Consequently, the petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, if anything remains by way of relief, the petitioner would be at liberty to approach the Department for an administrative decision. In case such a request is made for any residual relief flowing out of the main relief, then the same be decided expeditiously and the petitioner be communicated the result thereof.

28.11.2016
Vimal

**[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No