IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No. 11571 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 22.09.2016

Hardeep Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Inder Pal Goyat, Additional Advocate General, Punjab
for respondent Nos. 1 & 2/State.

Mr. Dilraj Singh Bhinder, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 3 to 5 and 7.

Mr. Tribhawan Singla, Advocate
for respondent No. 6-PTU, Jalandhar.

****

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner-Hardeep Singh was an applicant against the nine (09) posts of Assistant Professors in the Deptt. of Civil Engineering, meant for appointment in Giani Zail Singh Punjab Technical University Campus, Bathinda/respondent No. 7, advertised in November 2013 **(Annexure P-1)**. The petitioner belongs to the category of Scheduled Caste, and two (02) posts out of the aforesaid total nine (09) posts were reserved for the said category. The petitioner was placed at Sr. No. 2 in the result of written test of Scheduled Caste Category against the two advertised/reserved posts. Thereafter, the petitioner also faced the interview conducted on 05.07.2014.

Dinesh Kumar
2016.09.24 10:39
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

By filing the present writ petition, the petitioner is seeking a mandamus direction to respondent Nos. 3 to 7 for finalization of the selection process and issuance of appointment letters.

Upon notice, separate replies on behalf of the State and Maharaja Ranjit Singh State Technical University Campus, Bathinda, have been filed, wherein it is stated that Giani Zail Singh Punjab Technical University Campus, Bathinda, had become the constituent college of the new University (i.e. Maharaja Ranjit Singh State Technical University, Bathinda), from June 2015. Before Board of Governors, Giani Zail Singh Punjab Technical University Campus, Bathinda, could finally take a decision on the selections conducted, that a new University had been established in January 2015, and the college for which the appointments were made was contemplated to be shifted to the new University. Accordingly, the results were scraped by the Board of Governors of the succeeding University, because the earlier selections were recommended as per the guidelines of University Grants Commission (UGC), New Delhi for some branches and for other branches as per the guidelines of All India Council for Technical Education (AICTE), New Delhi, which were not as per the guidelines of University Grants Commission uniformally adopted by the succeeding University. Hence, it was decided that the fresh applications would be invited, strictly as per the guidelines of University Grants Commission.

Keeping in view the stand of the State, as also that the decision to scrap the selections does not suffer from any arbitrariness, this Court would restrain itself from adjudicating any further, moreso, when it is a settled principle of law that a mere selection does not provide an

Dinesh Kumar
2016.09.24 10:39
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh

indefeasible right for appointment.

In view of above, no case for interference is made out, accordingly, the present petition stands dismissed.

September 22, 2016 **(JASWANT SINGH)**
'dk kamra' **JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	No

Dinesh Kumar
2016.09.24 10:39
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document
Chandigarh