

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10595 of 2016

Date of Decision: 12.7.2016

Arvind Pal Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

High Court of Punjab and Haryana

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.A.D.S.Jattana, Advocate for the petitioner

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

The argument is based on reading of Rule 34 of the High Court Establishment (Appointment & Conditions of Service) Rules, 1973 and Rule 1.4 of the Punjab Civil Service Rules, Vol.1 Part 1 and in the light of the order passed by Hon'ble the Chief Justice on 17th August, 2007 (Annex.P-12).

In view of the nature of the prayers made in this petition, it appears to this Court that the view of the Union of India would be necessary to adjudicate upon the issues raised in this case with respect to the claim for pension made on behalf of the peons of the establishment of this Court appointed after 2006 instead of new Contributory Pension Scheme. On this, Mr.Jattana prays that he may be allowed to withdraw the petition with liberty to file afresh by impleading Union of India and to incorporate all grounds as appear to have emerged *ex facie* in hearing the matter at considerable length of time today. However, in the fresh petition, the petitioners will not be

compelled to append fresh court fee. The court fee affixed in this petition

would be sufficient to maintain the fresh writ petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

12.7.2016
MFK

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE