

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

**CM No.6990 of 2016 in/and
CWP No.10569 of 2016
Date of Decision: 03.06.2016**

Madan Lal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. S.K. Sharma Budhladawale, Advocate,
for the applicant-petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.

CM No.6990 of 2016

The application is allowed and amended memo of parties is taken on record.

Main Case

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty to file fresh petition with full particular with all material facts pleaded to support pro rata pension from Haryana alongside service in Punjab. The petitioner would also produce his resignation letter, if any, tendered in Haryana and the nature of his employment in Haryana before this Court considers issuing notice to the State of Haryana in a Punjab matter.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

03.06.2016
manju