

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**FAO No.M-44-M of 2006
Date of Decision: 19.02.2016**

Mahesh Kumar Bhatia	Versus	Appellant
Smt. Veena Devi @ Kabita		Respondent

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE BHALLA
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE LISA GILL**

Present: Mr. Virender Kumar, Advocate for the appellant.

Mr. Subhash, Advocate for
Mr. J.S.Saneta, Advocate for the respondent.

RAJIVE BHALLA, J (ORAL)

Counsel for the appellant states that despite his best efforts he has not been able to contact the appellant to make a statement whether the appellant has paid arrears of maintenance.

Counsel for the respondent states that he has definite instructions that the appellant has not paid arrears of maintenance.

In view of what has been recorded hereinabove, we dismiss the appeal for non-payment of arrears of maintenance but with liberty to the appellant to seek revival in case the arrears are cleared within three months.

**[RAJIVE BHALLA]
JUDGE**

19.02.2016
Vinay

**[LISA GILL]
JUDGE**