

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10528 of 2016
Date of decision: 25.05.2016

Khem Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Food Corporation of India and another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI**

Present: Mr. DS Malwai, Advocate for the petitioner.

1. Whether reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. To be referred to the reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest?

S.J. VAZIFDAR, A.C.J. (Oral)

The petitioner seeks an opportunity to rectify an inadvertent error in having filled a form stating "I am working at HTC ..." instead of stating that he had worked at HTC. The petitioner apprehends that due to this mistake, his bid may be rejected.

2. There is, however, no indication to that effect at this stage. In the event of the official respondents rejecting the bid on this ground, the petitioner is always at liberty to challenge the same.

3. The petition is, therefore, disposed of with the aforesaid liberty and with an order that in the event of respondent No.1 rejecting the petitioner's bid on the ground that it is non-responsive, it shall not issue

the work order till the expiry of a period of seven days from the date of the communication of the decision to the petitioner in writing.

(S.J. Vazifdar)
Acting Chief Justice

(Arun Palli)
Judge

May 25, 2016
Rajan