

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10519 of 2016

Date of Decision: 25.05.2016

Charan Dass Beri

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Puneet Kumar Bansal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

The claim in this petition is for unpaid retiral dues. The petitioner has served legal notice on the respondent-authorities which has not been responded to.

In view of the affidavit filed by the Chief Secretary, Punjab dated May 23, 2016 in CWP No.2321 of 2014, *Charanjit Singh v. State of Punjab and others*, a direction is issued as per para.6 to the Secretary of the Department of Local Bodies, Punjab to decide the matter within a period of three months having due regard of the need to maintain “first-in-first-out” principle.

Needless to say that if the claim of the petitioner is to be denied then a reasoned order be passed and communicated to the petitioner. In case, the amounts are due and payable the same will be paid with interest on the rate of interest available in Nationalized Banks on Fixed Deposit Receipts

counted from three months from the date of retirement till actual payment.

The petition stands disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

25.05.2016
manju