

122

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1047 of 2016

Date of decision: January 19, 2016

Sita Devi

.....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE SABINA

Present: Mr. Parveen Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

SABINA, J

Petitioner has filed this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to decide his representation Annexure P-5 and Legal Notice Annexure P-6.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the husband of the petitioner was working as Point Man with the respondents and had retired on 31.01.2010. Husband of the petitioner died on 16.12.2013 but till date petitioner had not been disbursed the family pension admissible to her. Petitioner had moved representation Annexure P-5 and had served legal notice Annexure P-6 for redressal of her grievance, but no action has been taken on the same so far.

Accordingly, without advertting to the merits of the case, this petition is disposed of, with a direction to respondent No.5 to dispose of the legal notice Annexure P-6 served by the petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously, preferably within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

**(SABINA)
JUDGE**

January 19, 2016
mahavir