

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10456 of 2016

Date of Decision: 25.05.2016

Satinder Pal Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. S.S. Rana, Advocate and
Mr. Sarvesh Kumar Gupta, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

1. To be referred to the Reporters or not?
2. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J.(Oral)

No ground is made out to interfere in the repatriation order which has been passed on administrative reasons. It is not sufficient to interfere merely because seven months are left for the petitioner to retire from service. However, the dismissal of this petition will not preclude the petitioner from approaching the authorities to consider his request. In case, such a representation is made, this Court has no doubt that it will be personally looked into by the competent authority. In case, the repatriation of the petitioner is worthy of review on the ground of the petitioner's medical condition, then that aspect may be considered humanely.

With these observations and directions, the petition stands disposed of.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

25.05.2016

manju