

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10446 of 2016
Date of decision:25.05.2016

M/s Ballarpur Industries Limited

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PARAMJEET SINGH
DHALIWAL**

Present: Mr. Chetan Mittal, Sr. Advocate, with
Mr. Rajat Khanna, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal, J. (Oral)

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner contends that they have already moved an application to the Tehsildar for entering the mutation on the basis of judgment of the Calcutta High Court in *Company Petition No. 312 of 1968* dated 24.09.1969 (Annexure P-1) but the mutation has not been entered, rather a report dated 15.01.2015 (Annexure P-9) has been received, whereby it is reported that the property in question situated in village Hundewala is not mentioned in the schedule-B of the judgment and after that no order has been passed. Learned counsel further contends that representation dated 11.03.2016 (Annexure P-10) was sent to the Tehsildar, Jagadhari but no decision has been taken on the same. Learned senior counsel submitted that direction may be issued for deciding application dated 11.03.2016 (Annexure P-10).

Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after perusing the paper-book, however, without commenting on merits of the case, instant petition is disposed of, without issuing notice to the respondents, with a direction to respondent No.4- Tehsildar, Jagadhari, District Yamunanagar, Haryana, to take a decision on the application dated 11.03.2016 (Annexure P-10), in accordance with law, preferably within a period of four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. Copy of the order, so passed, shall be communicated forthwith to the petitioner.

25.05.2016

PA

(Paramjeet Singh Dhaliwal)
Judge