

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.11369 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.10.2016**

Gurdev Singh

.... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana & ors.

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIVE NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Padamkant Dwivedi, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

Rajiv Narain Raina, J.(Oral)

Respondent No.4 under Article 12 is not a State or authority. It is also not performing any public duty. Respondent No.3 may qualify the same but certainly not the 4th respondent and be amenable to writ jurisdiction.

Consequently, the petitioner is relegated to his remedies under The Haryana Cooperative Societies Act, 1984. Besides, no connection has been shown with the respondent No.3 and respondent No.4 except that respondent No.4 takes loans from the respondent Bank to run its affairs etc.

The writ petition does not lie against the respondent Primary Agriculture Cooperative Society, which receives no financial aid from the government, and government has no deep or pervasive control over its functioning.

Consequently, the present petition is dismissed as not maintainable.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

25.10.2016
sonia

- | | |
|-------------------------------|--------|
| 1. Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| 2. Whether reportable? | Yes/No |