

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.10406 of 2016
Date of decision: 24.5.2016

Tehal Singh and ors.

..... Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and ors.

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.S. SARON
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURMIT RAM

Present: Mr. S.S. Rang, Advocate
for the petitioners.

S.S. SARON, J.

The petition has been filed for setting aside the order dated 30.5.2012 (Annexure P-2) passed by the Punjab Water Supply and Sewerage Board (respondent No.2).

The grievance of the petitioners is that in terms of the impugned order, the Punjab Water Supply and Sewerage Board (respondent No.2) has ordered the discharge of sewerage water in the Dhande drain as shown in the site-plan (Annexure P-1).

The impugned order dated 30.5.2012 (Annexure P-2) was passed four years ago. The same related to acceptance of tender submitted by M/s Virindra Buidcon Pvt. Ltd., Chandigarh for the work of extension and augmentation for providing W/S Sewerage and STP/MPS of Samrala Town District, Ludhiana. The subject matter of the letter dated 30.5.2012 (Annexure P-2) is quite vague and not understandable. It appears that the said Sewerage Treatment Plant was to be provided for treatment of sullage water. The Sewerage Treatment Plant is not depicted

in the site-plan Annexure P-1. In any case the time limit for completion was five months from 5.6.2012 which has lapsed by now. It is nowhere mentioned in the petition that the work is still going on. Reliance is sought to be placed on the letter dated 18.4.2016 (Annexure P-8) issued by the Environment Engineer (respondent No.5) to Sukhdev Singh (petitioner No.5). The same is with reference to letter dated 18.4.2016 of Sukhdev Singh (petitioner No.5) and it is mentioned in the said letter (Annexure P-8) that no treated or untreated sewerage water can be discharged in the seepage drain. The letter (Annexure P-8) has been issued by the Environment Engineer on the same day it was submitted by Sukhdev Singh (petitioner No.5). It appears that the matter was not examined with regard to the discharge from the sewerage treatment plant as the letter (Annexure P-8) was issued on the same day it was submitted by Sukhdev Singh (petitioner No.5).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he may for the present be allowed to withdraw the present petition so as to file a fresh one by giving complete and detailed facts mentioning the particulars of discharge of sewerage water in the Dhande drain and whether it is treated or untreated.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for.

(S.S. SARON)
JUDGE

(GURMIT RAM)
JUDGE

24.5.2016
Brij