

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

120

CWP-10359-2016 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.05.2016

Hari Kishan

...Petitioner

Versus

Punjab National Bank and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice P.B. Bajanthri

1. Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment?
2. Whether to be referred to the Reporters or not?
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?

Present: Mr. Gourav Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. Bajanthri, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has prayed for directions to the official respondents to promote him to the post of Special Assistant with effect from 12.11.1997 instead of 05.12.1999 i.e. from the date of juniors promotions with all consequential benefits. The cause of action accrued to the petitioner in the year 1997 and he was promoted on 15.12.1999. He had slept over the matter till April, 2016. It was contended that he was pursuing the respondents for furnishing certain documents, during intervening period from 1999 to 2016. Therefore, there is no delay. For the purpose of promotion one must approach at the earliest as held by the Apex Court in the case of *P.S. Sadasivaswamy vs The State Of Tamil Nadu* reported in 1974 AIR 2271, 1975 SCR (2) 356. In this case, it is held that for the purpose of promotion and seniority, one must approach

CWP-10359-2016

-2-

within reasonable period of 6 months.

In view of the above decision of the Supreme Court, the petitioner's prayer for promoting him from 12.11.1997 instead of 15.12.1999 is not tenable.

CWP stands dismissed.

24.05.2016

pooja saini

**(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**